

Date: Sun, 2 Jan 94 04:30:12 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #561
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sun, 2 Jan 94 Volume 93 : Issue 561

Today's Topics:

Spirit of radio...

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 2 Jan 1994 06:00:51 GMT
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!uxa.cso.uiuc.edu!trd54583@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Spirit of radio...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV) writes:

>I know people that have got on the air for under \$5. (The cost of the
>testing session.)

Yeah, but most people have to shell out money for equipment (or parts if
they wanna build stuff). Ham equipment seems to be harder to come by than
FM radio...

/lw

Date: Sun, 2 Jan 94 00:41:41 EST
From: noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!usenet@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Dec25.214654.5428@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>,
<940101.76385.EDELLERS@delphi.com>, <CIzEFz.94K@sugar.NeoSoft.COM>
Subject : Re: The 10-meters band - No CW required ?

Normally I wouldn't reply to your garbage, but since you asked me the response is that I never had any intention of getting a Commercial Radiotelegraph Operator's License since I never had any intention of working in a position that required one. (If you read the rules closely enough you would have seen that such a license should only be applied for if actually needed.) Therefore the question is irrelevant.

-- Ed Ellers, KD4AWQ

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #561
